PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTAB (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

BFP'P	g y	CUA	Appa
WIPO			PCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference				
PCT/03-17	FOR FURTHER AC	TION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US04/21317	01 July 2004 (01.07.2004	4	10 July 2003 (10.07.2003)	
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification an	d IPC	1 10 July 2003 (10:07:2003)	
IPC(7): B21D 53/08; and US Cl.: 72/37				
Applicant Applicant	7.2			
MIDWEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE				
Examining Authority unde	r Article 35 and transmi	tted to the applicant	ished by this International Preliminary according to Article 36.	
2. This REPORT consists of			et.	
3. This report is also accomp	anied by ANNEXES, co	omprising:		
	nt and to the Internation			
of this repor	t and/or sheets containi	ing rectifications aut	have been amended and are the basis thorized by this Authority (see Rule	
70.16 and Se	ction 607 of the Admini	strative Instructions).	,	
sheets which	ch supersede earlier sl	neets, but which th	his Authority considers contain an	
amendment	that goes beyond the	disclosure in the in	aternational application as filed as	
indicated in i	tem 4 of Box No. I and	the Supplemental Bo	x.	
b (sent to ti	he International Bureau	only) a total of (ir	ndicate type and number of electronic	
carrier(s))				
, containing	g a sequence listing and/	or tables related then	reto, in computer readable form only,	
as indicated in 6 Administrative In	the Supplemental Box	Relating to Sequen	ce Listing (see Section 802 of the	
4. This report contains indica	ations relating to the follo	owing items:		
Box No. I Ba	asis of the report			
Box No. II Pr	iority			
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
l	ack of unity of invention			
Box No. V Re	easoned statement under	Article 35(2) with	regard to novelty, inventive step or	
ind	dustrial applicability: cita	ations and explanation	ns supporting such statement	
	dustrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement extain documents cited			
Box No. VII Ce	ertain defects in the international application			
Box No. VIII Ce	ertain observations on the international application			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	of this report	
20 April 2005 (20.04.2005)		17 June 2005 (17.06.	•	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ US		Authorized officer	2003)	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US		A LUGUEST INCH CITICET	0.	
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450		Lowell A. Larson	Dian American	
Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450		 magain 1 =	Dian Smith	
			308-1148	
form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(January 2004)				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International	application No.	

PCT/US04/21317

Box No). I	Basis of the report
1. Wit	, ши	rd to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was so otherwise indicated under this item.
	This which	report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, h is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
J		nd to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" annexed to this report):
\boxtimes	the is	nternational application as originally filed/furnished
\boxtimes		escription:
	page	as originally filed/furnished
	page	received by this Authority on
	page	* received by this Authority on
\bowtie	the c	laims;
		as originally filed/furnished
	page:	
	page	
K 3		leceived by this Authority on
\boxtimes		rawings:
		as originally filed/furnished
	page	* received by this Authority on
	page	* received by this Authority on
L.J	a seq	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.	The a	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
	\sqcup	the description, pages
		the claims, Nos
		the drawings, sheets/figs
		the sequence listing (specify):
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
4. 🔲	This r	eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
		the description, pages
		the claims, Nos
		the drawings, sheets/figs
		the sequence listing (specify):
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
* If item	4 app	olies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded." (409 (Box No. I) (January 2004)
	x x	(

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US04/21317

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 3: applicability; citations and explanati	5(2) with	n regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial porting such statement	
1. Statement				
No		Claims Claims		_YES _ NO
Inv		Claims Claims		_YES _NO
Inc		Claims Claims		_YES _NO

2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7)

1. Claims 1-49 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Kato et al. (US 5,170,842) in view of Modine (US 1,853,315).

Kato et al. discloses a heat exchanger having fins with struck-out portions to direct and facilitate air flow. The struck-out fin elements consist of legs 15 and raised portions 14.

Modine discloses a heat exchanger in which air flow characteristics are improved by the use of stuck-out tabs 14.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Kato et al. with struck-out portions in the form of tabs, as shown by Modine, rather than legs and connecting portions merely as an exercise of choice between equivalent structures which are well known in the art. Kato et al. advises that heat exchange efficiency can be improved by the arrangement of the struck-out portions to produce uniform air stream velocity and a reduction of dead air regions. To arrange the fin tabs in any desired manner, as recited in these claims, is considered to be an obvious exercise of routine experimentation, following the teaching of Kato et al., to optimize the air flow for any fin configuration and expected use.

2. Claims 1-49 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in the production of useful articles, such as heat exchange units for refrigeration.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (January 2004)